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ABSTRACT Pressure-induced unfolding of 23-kDa protein from spinach photosystem II has been systematically investigated at various experimental conditions. Thermodynamic equilibrium studies indicate that the protein is very sensitive to pressure. At 20°C and pH 5.5, 23-kDa protein shows a reversible two-state unfolding transition under pressure with a midpoint near 160 MPa, which is much lower than most natural proteins studied to date. The free energy (\( \Delta G_u \)) and volume change (\( \Delta V_u \)) for the unfolding are 5.9 kcal/mol and –160 ml/mol, respectively. It was found that NaCl and sucrose significantly stabilize the protein from unfolding and the stabilization is associated not only with an increase in \( \Delta G_u \) but also with a decrease in \( \Delta V_u \). The pressure-jump studies of 23-kDa protein reveal a negative activation volume for unfolding (–66.2 ml/mol) and a positive activation volume for refolding (84.1 ml/mol), indicating that, in terms of system volume, the protein transition state lies between the folded and unfolded states. Examination of the temperature effect on the unfolding kinetics indicates that the thermal expansibility of the transition state and the unfolded state of 23-kDa protein are closer to each other and they are larger than that of the native state. The diverse pressure-refolding pathways of 23-kDa protein in some conditions were revealed in pressure-jump kinetics.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding protein folding mechanisms remains one of the major challenges in protein science. Various physical and chemical perturbations such as temperature, pH, or chemical denaturants have been widely used as experimental variables to explore protein unfolding. These studies are carried out mostly at atmospheric pressure, and have provided considerable information on the process (Buck et al., 1994; Creighton, 1993; Dobson et al., 1998; Kim and Baldwin, 1982, 1990). However, the knowledge gained so far is insufficient for gaining a full understanding of how proteins fold into native state. According to Le Chatelier’s principle, pressure is a fundamental thermodynamic variable in addition to temperature and chemical potential, and governs the chemical equilibrium of a state occupying smaller volume at higher pressure. Unfolding of proteins has been found to mostly accompany a reduction in the volume of a protein-solvent system (Heremans, 1982; Mozhaev et al., 1996; Silva and Weber, 1993; Weber and Drickamer, 1983; Zipp and Kauzmann, 1973). Using high pressure to explore protein-unfolding offers a number of advantages compared with other physical or chemical perturbations. It provides valuable information on the volume change upon unfolding, activation volumes, and thermal expansibility. More and more experimental data have been reported based on high pressure techniques, including Fourier transform infrared, nuclear magnetic resonance, small-angle x-ray scattering, high pressure densitometry, fluorescence, and fourth-derivative ultraviolet (UV) absorbance spectra (Desai et al., 1999; Jonas, 2002; Lange and Balny, 2002; Mohana-Borges et al., 1999; Ruan and Balny, 2002; Winter, 2002; Woenckhaus et al., 2001).

Photosystem II (PSII) is a multisubunit membrane protein complex performing light-induced electron transfer and water-splitting reactions, leading to the evolution of molecular oxygen. In green algae and higher plants, PSII contains three extrinsic proteins of 33-kDa, 23-kDa, and 17-kDa functioning to stabilize the Mn-cluster that directly catalyzes the water-splitting reaction. In our previous work, the pressure-unfolding of 33-kDa protein isolated from spinach photosystem II was studied by several approaches, including intrinsic (tryptophan) and extrinsic 8-anilino-naphthalino-sulfonic acid fluorescence, as well as fourth-derivative UV absorbance spectra (Ruan et al., 2001, 2003). These measurements revealed that the protein is very...
sensitive to pressure. A pressure of 180 MPa can totally and reversibly unfold the protein at 20°C and pH 6.0. This transition pressure is one of the lowest observed for natural (nonmutant) proteins so far. We also demonstrated that the pressure-unfolding of 33-kDa protein can be significantly modulated by physical or chemical perturbation. The unfolding free energy was found to decrease by increasing temperature, whereas the unfolding volume change ($\Delta V_u$) remained constant, suggesting that the change in thermal expansibility of the folded and unfolded state for 33-kDa protein is very small. This result differs significantly from that observed in Snase and trp repressor (Desai et al., 1999; Panick et al., 1999; Woenckhaus et al., 2001). Interestingly, through examining the pressure stability of the 33-kDa protein in the presence of sucrose and NaCl, it was found that the stabilization effect of these reagents was associated not only with an increase in free energy of unfolding, but also with a decrease in the absolute value of the unfolding volume change. The increase in unfolding free energy has been interpreted as arising from preferential hydration proposed by Timasheff (1993), whereas the decrease in the volume change has been suggested to result from several effects of these reagents on protein including osmotic stress (Ruan et al., 2003).

The unique behavior of 33-kDa protein under pressure motivated us to study another extrinsic protein from spinach PSII, i.e., 23-kDa protein (also intimately implicated in oxygen production), which modulates the Ca$^{2+}$ and Cl$^-$ requirement for oxygen evolution and therefore has been widely studied (Berthold et al., 1981; Homann and Madabusi, 1993; Murata and Miyao, 1985; Ono et al., 1992; Seidler, 1994). The 23-kDa protein consists of 186 amino acid residues with two tryptophan residues located at residue numbers 34 and 167, respectively (Seidler, 1994). We found that, upon unfolding, the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence of the protein exhibits both a large spectral shift and a substantial decrease in intensity. Furthermore, 23-kDa protein can be easily unfolded by pressure. A pressure of 200 MPa can completely unfold the protein at pH 5.5 and 20°C. These make it very convenient to follow the unfolding/refolding of the protein in both equilibrium and kinetics studies. The kinetics of protein unfolding/folding can provide direct information on the structural characteristics of the transition state relative to the native and unfolded states of the protein, revealing important aspects of the rate-limiting step in the process. Recently, with the development of the pressure-jump technique, a number of in-depth studies have been reported (Desai et al., 1999; Mohana-Borges et al., 1999; Panick et al., 1999; Panick and Winter, 2000; Vidugiris et al., 1995; Woenckhaus et al., 2001). However, the number of pressure-jump kinetics studies remains very limited compared with that of equilibrium studies. Here we present a systematic investigation of equilibrium and kinetics of the unfolding/refolding of 23-kDa protein induced by changes in pressure.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Purification of the 23-kDa protein**

The 23-kDa protein was purified from spinach photosystem II which was isolated from market spinach according to the method of Berthold et al. (1981) and then treated with 1 M NaCl at 1.0 mg chl/ml for 30 min in the dark to release the 23-kDa and 17-kDa extrinsic proteins. The NaCl-extract was diluted sixfold with 30 mM citric acid at pH 4.0, and immediately purified with a Bio-Scale S column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) which has been equilibrated with 30 mM citric acid at pH 4.0. The 23-kDa protein was eluted with a NaCl gradient from 350 mM to 650 mM. All the treatment and purification procedures were carried out at 4°C or on ice. The purity of the eluted protein was confirmed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel analysis to be sufficient for this study (data not shown). The purified protein was dissolved in 0.1 M pH 5.5 4-morpholine-ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer, unless otherwise indicated.

**Fluorescence measurements**

Fluorescence measurements were carried out using either an Aminco Bowman Series 2 fluorescence-spectrophotometer (SLM Aminco, Foster City, CA) or an SLM 48000 fluorescence-spectrophotometer (SLM Aminco) in which the sample housings were modified at the INSERM laboratory and at the Shanghai laboratory, respectively, to measure fluorescence under pressure from 0.1 MPa to 600 MPa for the former and from 0.1 MPa to 300 MPa for the latter through thermostated pressure bombs. The fluorescence spectra were quantified by specifying the center of spectral mass ($\nu$), which was defined and used by Silva et al. (1986),

$$\langle \nu \rangle = \sum \nu F_i / \sum F_i ,$$  

(1)

where $\nu_i$ is the wavenumber and $F_i$ the fluorescence intensity at $\nu_i$. The excitation wavelength for the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence was 295 nm.

The degree of unfolding or degree of transition ($\alpha$) is related to $\langle \nu \rangle$ by the formula

$$\alpha = \left[1 + \left( \langle \nu_\beta \rangle - \langle \nu_\alpha \rangle / \langle \nu_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nu_\beta \rangle \right) \right]^{-1} ,$$  

(2)

where $q$ is the ratio of the quantum yield of the unfolded state over the native state; $\langle \nu_\beta \rangle$ is the center of spectral mass at pressure $p$; and $\langle \nu_\alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \nu_\beta \rangle$ are the corresponding quantities for the unfolded and native states, respectively. The free energy and volume change upon unfolding, $\Delta G_u$ and $\Delta V_u$, were calculated according to the method of Li et al. (1976). Equation 2 was also used to calculate $\alpha$ from the data obtained by using the fourth-derivative absorbance spectroscopy, in which the $\langle \nu \rangle$ values were substituted with the corresponding accumulation amplitude for the fourth-derivative experiments.

**Fourth-derivative UV absorbance spectra**

Absorption spectra of the protein between 260 and 300 nm were recorded at 20°C using a modified Cary3 (Varian, Palo Alto, CA) absorption spectrophotometer described elsewhere allowing experiments in a pressure range from atmospheric pressure to 500 MPa through a thermostated high-pressure bomb. The fourth-derivative absorbance spectra were calculated from the corresponding absorption spectrum as described previously (Lange et al., 1996).

**Measurement of thermal unfolding of 23-kDa protein**

The measurement of the protein unfolding induced by temperature was carried out in the same way as in the fluorescence measurements mentioned.
above, except that the pressure was kept at atmospheric pressure. After a predefined temperature was reached, the protein was incubated at that temperature for 15 min, and then the fluorescence spectrum excited at 295 nm was recorded. This procedure was repeated with increasing temperature step-by-step until the maximum emission wavelength of the fluorescence spectrum shifted to 350 nm, indicating that the tryptophan residue of 23-kDa protein has been completely exposed to the aqueous solution caused by thermal denaturation.

Measurement of unfolding-folding kinetics induced by pressure-jump

The measurements of unfolding-folding kinetics induced by pressure-jump were carried out on an Amino Bowman Series 2 fluorescence spectrophotometer connected with a pressure jump device made in the INSERM laboratory. Positive or negative pressure-jumps up to 100 MPa were performed in the pressure range from 0.1 to 600 MPa, with a dead-time of 5–10 ms. The tryptophan fluorescence intensity at 330 nm was used to monitor the unfolding-folding kinetics of the protein after positive or negative pressure jumps. The relaxation profiles of the unfolding/folding under given pressures were assumed to be single-exponential processes and were fit to get the relaxation time $\tau$,

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{-t/\tau} + C,$$

where $I(t)$ is the fluorescence intensity at time $t$, $I_0$ is the intensity at time zero, and $C$ is the asymptotic value at a given pressure. The inverse of the relaxation time is the apparent rate constant at the given pressure, $k_{\text{app}}(p)$.

For a two-state folding/unfolding process the apparent rate constant $k_{\text{app}}(p)$ and the unfolding equilibrium constant $K_d(p)$ have the following relations with the unfolding rate constant $k_u(p)$ and folding rate constant $k_f(p)$ at pressure $p$:

$$k_{\text{app}}(p) = k_u(p) + k_f(p),$$

$$K_d(p) = k_u(p)/k_f(p).$$

Equations 4 and 5 can be used to calculate $k_u(p)$ and $k_f(p)$ from $k_{\text{app}}(p)$ and $K_d(p)$ at the respective pressures, in which $K_d(p)$, the unfolding equilibrium constant at pressure $p$, is calculated from $K_u$, the unfolding equilibrium constant at atmospheric pressure according to

$$\ln K_u(p) = \ln K_u - \Delta V_u \cdot p/RT,$$

where $\Delta V_u$ is the volume change upon unfolding; and $p$, $R$, and $T$ indicate the pressure, universal gas constant, and temperature, respectively. Meanwhile, $K_u$ is obtained from the equilibrium measurement. The unfolding and folding rate constant at atmospheric pressure ($k_u$ and $k_f$) and activated volume for unfolding and folding ($\Delta V_u^a$ and $\Delta V_f^a$) can be obtained from Eqs. 5 and 6, respectively, based on $k_u(p)$ and $K_d(p)$ at different pressures:

$$\ln k_u(p) = \ln k_u - \Delta V_u^a/RT,$$

$$\ln k_f(p) = \ln k_f - \Delta V_f^a/RT.$$

Measurement of unfolding kinetics induced by guanidinium hydrochloride (Gdm-HCl)

Kinetics measurements of 23-kDa protein unfolding induced by guanidinium hydrochloride (Gdm-HCl) were performed on a mini stopped-flow device (SLM Aminco) by mixing native 23-kDa protein with Gdm-HCl at a ratio 1:1 to yield the desired Gdm-HCl concentration. The tryptophan emission spectra were recorded by a charge-coupled device spectrophotometer (Acton Research, Acton, MA) mounted on an SLM 48000. The excitation wavelength was 295 nm.

RESULTS

Pressure-unfolding of the 23-kDa protein

The tryptophan fluorescence spectra of the 23-kDa protein under various pressures were shown in Fig. 1. The maximum emission wavelength of the protein was at 325 nm, indicating that the average environment of two tryptophan residues in 23-kDa protein is fairly hydrophobic. Upon increasing the pressure from atmospheric step by step, the maximum emission wavelength gradually shifted from 325 to 350 nm, which is the typical spectrum of free tryptophan in aqueous solution. This indicates that the tryptophan residues in the protein are gradually exposed to solvent due to increased pressure. Further increases in pressure did not yield any

![FIGURE 1 Fluorescence emission spectra of 23-kDa protein under various pressures. (A) The fluorescence emission spectra of 23-kDa protein under pressures from 0.1 to 220 MPa (top to bottom). Protein concentration: 0.1 mg/ml in 0.1 M MES buffer, pH 5.5. Excitation wavelength: 295 nm. (Inset) The fourth-derivative UV spectra of 23-kDa protein under pressures 0.1–200 MPa (from right to left). Protein concentration: 0.6 mg/ml in 0.1 M MES buffer, pH 5.5. All measurements were carried out at 20°C. (B) The center of spectral mass in compression (●) and decompression (■). The values were obtained from the spectra shown in A by the calculation described in Materials and Methods.](image)
folding and refolding (Fig. 1A), indicating that the tryptophan residues in 23-kDa protein were totally exposed to solvent as a result of pressure-induced unfolding. The decrease in fluorescence intensity with the pressure observed in Fig. 1A also supports this conclusion. The profiles of the center mass of 23-kDa protein during the unfolding and refolding (Fig. 1B) indicate that the unfolding is reversible and that the unfolding is a two-state transition. A small degree of hysteresis is observed in the equilibrium profile. Based on the data in Fig. 1, the free energy ($\Delta G_u$) and the volume change ($\Delta V_u$) upon unfolding were calculated as described in Materials and Methods; they were 5.60 kcal/mol and $-150.3$ ml/mol, respectively. The value of $\Delta V_u$ of 23-kDa protein is much larger than that of 33-kDa protein ($-120$ ml/mol), considering that its molecular weight is 75% of the latter. The inset in Fig. 1 is the fourth-derivative absorption spectra of the protein under pressures from 0.1 to 200 MPa. The fourth-derivative absorption of protein (especially the spectral range from 280 to 286 nm and from 287 to 297 nm) can be used to study the local environment characteristics of tyrosine and tryptophan residues (Lange et al., 1996). The spectra in these two regions present both a distinct blue shift and decrease in amplitude upon increasing pressure, indicating that the tyrosine and tryptophan residues in 23-kDa protein become more and more exposed to the solvent upon pressure unfolding (Lange et al., 1996). As observed in fluorescence measurement, when the pressure was raised to 180 MPa and higher, no further spectral change in the inset can be observed. This observation indicates that all tyrosine and tryptophan residues in 23-kDa protein were completely exposed to solvent, leading to the same conclusion as above, i.e., that the protein was unfolded by a pressure $\sim200$ MPa. The calculated free energy and standard volume change from this measurement are $5.81$ kcal/mol and $157.6$ ml/mol, respectively, and these agree reasonably with the values obtained in fluorescence (see Table 1). The Gdm-HCl unfolding of 23-kDa protein was also examined. It was found that 23-kDa protein was readily unfolded by Gdm-HCl. The entire transition occurs between 1.0 and 1.5 M Gdm-HCl. The free energy was $6.85$ kcal/mol, again in reasonable agreement with the values obtained from pressure-induced unfolding.

**Temperature effect on the pressure-unfolding**

The pressure-induced unfolding profiles of 23-kDa protein at various temperatures were shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that whatever the temperature is from 3 to 45°C, the protein were totally unfolded in pressure range of 160–200 MPa, and the maximum emission wavelengths of the protein tryptophan fluorescence were shifted to 350 nm (data not shown). The lines in Fig. 2A represent the fitting of the data to a simple two-state unfolding transition, and the values calculated for the Gibbs free energy and volume change of 23-kDa protein upon unfolding, $\Delta G_u$ and $\Delta V_u$, are listed in Table 1. The $\Delta G_u$ at various temperatures shown in Table 1 and the nonlinear profile of ln$K_u$ versus temperature in Fig. 2B indicate that the protein is more stable at $\sim20$°C. In other words, 23-kDa protein is destabilized at either higher or lower temperatures as compared to 20°C. The highest values of $p_{1/2}$, the transition pressure midpoint at various temperatures listed in Table 1, was at 20°C (156 MPa), which also supports this conclusion. According to Fig. 2A, the pressure required to unfold 23-kDa protein at various temperatures were estimated and plotted as a $p/T$ phase diagram in Fig. 2C. The temperature needed to denature the protein at atmospheric pressure was 85°C determined from the thermal-denaturation experiment. The phase diagram exhibits the well-known curvature for heat and cold denaturation of proteins due to the large increase in heat capacity upon folding (Panick et al., 1999; Smeller, 2002). The similar temperature dependence of the stability was also observed in trp repressor, Snase, 33-kDa protein, and was attributed to the large increase in heat capacity upon unfolding (Desai et al., 1999; Panick et al., 1999). The temperature dependence of the unfolding $\Delta V_u$ in Fig. 3 indicates that the $\Delta V_u$ is roughly linearly decreased in absolute value with temperature increase. The slope of the linear fit of $\Delta V_u$ versus temperature is $\sim1.8$ ml/mol deg.

**The stabilizing effect of NaCl and sucrose**

In our previous study on 33-kDa protein, it was clearly found that protein-stabilizers, such as sucrose, glycerol, and NaCl, significantly protected the 33-kDa from pressure-unfolding and that the stabilization effect was associated not only with the increase in free energy, but also with the reduction in the volume change upon unfolding (Ruan et al., 2003). In Fig. 4, the unfolding curves of 23-kDa protein in the presence of various concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 4A) or sucrose (Fig. 4B) were shown. Clearly, the presence of NaCl or sucrose shifted the pressure required for unfolding 23-kDa protein to higher ones. For instance, the $p_{1/2}$ of the protein in the absence of sucrose was 156 MPa, but it shifted to 173, 188, and 238 MPa in the presence of 0.2, 0.4, and 0.8 M sucrose. A similar situation was also found with NaCl. These results indicate that sucrose or NaCl can signifi-
cantly protect 23-kDa protein from pressure-unfolding, very similar to the observation on the 33-kDa protein. The free energy and the volume change upon unfolding of the protein obtained from the data in Fig. 4 were listed in Table 2, which indicated that the free energy increases whereas the unfolding $\Delta V_u$ exhibits a significant decrease with increasing concentration of sucrose or NaCl. This result gives strong support to the previous conclusion obtained in the 33-kDa protein study—i.e., that reagents such as sucrose or NaCl protect protein against pressure-induced unfolding not only because of the increase in the free energy caused by the increase of surface tension around the protein molecules, but also because of the reduction in absolute value of the volume change upon unfolding (Frye and Royer, 1997; Ruan et al., 2003; Timasheff, 1993).

FIGURE 2 Temperature effect on 23-kDa protein unfolding induced by pressure. (A) The pressure-unfolding profile of 23-kDa protein at various temperatures. The degrees of unfolding were calculated from the tryptophan fluorescence spectra of the protein under pressures at temperatures 3°C (■), 10°C (●), 20°C (●), 35°C (∆), and 45°C (▲). Protein concentration: 0.1 mg/ml in 0.1 M MES buffer, pH 5.5. (B) Natural logarithm of $K_u$, the equilibrium constant of 23-kDa protein unfolding versus the inverse of temperature. The values of $K_u$ were calculated from the data in A as described in Materials and Methods. (C) The $p/T$-stability diagram of 23-kDa protein.

FIGURE 3 The temperature dependence of the equilibrium volume changes for unfolding ($\Delta V_u$) and activation transition for unfolding ($\Delta V^\#_u$) as well as folding ($\Delta V^\#_f$) of 23-kDa protein.

FIGURE 3 The temperature dependence of the equilibrium volume changes for unfolding ($\Delta V_u$) and activation transition for unfolding ($\Delta V^\#_u$) as well as folding ($\Delta V^\#_f$) of 23-kDa protein.
The kinetics of 23-kDa protein unfolding and refolding

A series of tryptophan fluorescence relaxation profiles of 23-kDa protein unfolding and refolding induced by pressure jumps at 20°C were plotted in Fig. 5. Decrease or increase in fluorescence intensity was observed after rapid positive or negative pressure jumps, respectively (Fig. 5, A and B), showing good reversibility of the pressure-induced unfolding as observed in equilibrium experiments mentioned above. All fluorescence relaxation profiles for both unfolding and refolding were well fitted with a single exponential function, indicating the unfolding-folding transition is a two-state process. The apparent rate constants at pressure $p$, $k_{app}(p)$, were plotted as a function of pressure in Fig. 5 C. It is obvious that the variation of $k_{app}(p)$ upon the increasing pressure shows two phases. In the first phase (from 120 to 150 MPa) $k_{app}(p)$ decreases with pressure, whereas in the second (>150 MPa), $k_{app}(p)$ increases with pressure. From this, it is expected that for 23-kDa protein the pressure dependence of the folding rate constant, $k_f(p)$, and the unfolding rate constant, $k_u(p)$, should behave in a contrary manner according to Eqs. 4, 7, and 8. The data in Fig. 5 were used to calculate $k_f(p)$ and $k_u(p)$ under various pressures as described in Materials and Methods. The results indicate that the pressure dependence of $k_f(p)$ and $k_u(p)$ is just as expected above; i.e., $k_f(p)$ slows down and $k_u(p)$ speeds up with the increases of pressure. From the slopes of the linear fitting of $k_f(p)$ and $k_u(p)$ versus pressure, the folding activation volume $\Delta V_f^\#$ and unfolding activation volume $\Delta V_u^\#$ were respectively obtained. Meanwhile the rate constant for folding and unfolding at atmospheric pressure, $k_f$ and $k_u$, were obtained by extrapolating the linear fitting curve to atmospheric pressure, respectively. Their values were listed in Table 3. As seen in the table, the folding activation volume of the protein, $\Delta V_f^\#$, is positive (84.1 ml/mol), whereas $\Delta V_u^\#$ is negative (~66.2 ml/mol). As mentioned above, all the relaxation profiles for both unfolding and folding in Fig. 5 were well fit with a single exponential function. However, it was found that the relaxation profile of the 23-kDa protein refolding could only be fit with a two-exponential function in the event that the pressure span of the negative pressure-jump was larger (~50 MPa or more) and the final pressure after jump was close to 100 MPa or lower. In the equilibrium and kinetics study described above, it was found that 100 MPa is the pressure for 23-kDa protein to begin unfolding significantly. Fig. 6, A–C, indicate that the relaxation profile of refolding after jump from 150 to 100 MPa can be well fit with a two-exponential function but not a single-exponential one. The relaxation times for the two phases are 3.4 and 77.5 s, respectively, whereby the fast phase dominates over the slower one. This observation indicates that the refolding of 23-kDa protein upon releasing pressure to pressures be-

### Table 2: Effect of NaCl and sucrose on thermodynamics parameters of 23-kDa protein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaCl (M)</th>
<th>$\Delta G_u$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta V_u$ (ml/mol)</th>
<th>$p_{0.5}$ (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>-150.3</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>-141.2</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>-120.2</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose (M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>-150.3</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>-150.1</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>-140.4</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>-114.7</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
low the onset of the transition follows two paths. One is responsible for the slow relaxation time, which is on the same timescale with that in the unfolding and refolding at 100 MPa shown in Fig. 5 (80.0 s), suggesting that it would be the identical pathway with the unique unfolding observed above. The other pathway responsible for the fast relaxation time has not been observed other than in Fig. 6, implying that the populations of the corresponding intermediate become larger and detectable only under these conditions. The relaxation profile of 23-kDa protein refolding after the pressure jump from 200 to 150 MPa was also shown in Fig. 6 A. However, this relaxation profile could be fit quite well with a single-exponential function (Fig. 6 D). The same results were also obtained from the similar negative pressure jumps (not shown). This indicates that the two-phase phenomenon is not caused by the large-span pressure-jump. Furthermore, the unfolding relaxation after a positive jump from 100 MPa to 200 MPa was also fit well with a single-exponential function (Fig. 7), providing further evidence suggesting that the two-exponential relaxation for refolding observed above is reliable. More interestingly, the two-exponential relaxation for refolding seems to be related to temperature. The lower temperature renders it much more obvious (Fig. 7 C), whereas higher temperature has the opposite effect (Fig. 7 A). When the temperature was raised to 45°C, the relaxation profile of 23-kDa protein refolding after the similar big jump can be fit as a single-exponential function. All of these revealed that the pressure-refolding pathway of 23-kDa protein could be varied by the experimental conditions. The unfolding kinetics of 23-kDa protein induced by Gdm-HCl at 20°C was also examined by stopped-flow experiments. The final concentration of Gdm-HCl in the stopped-flow experiment was 1.5 M, which can completely denature the protein, as revealed in equilibrium study. The relaxation profile is well fit with a single-exponential function with a relaxation time of 8.5 s, significantly faster than that observed in pressure-unfolding kinetics. For the latter, the shortest (40 s) and the longest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)*</th>
<th>$k_\text{on}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta V^\diamond_\text{u}$ (ml/mol)</th>
<th>$k_\text{off}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta V^\diamond_\text{f}$ (ml/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-9.9$</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-71.9$</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>101.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-66.2$</td>
<td>1.870</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$-56.6$</td>
<td>1.990</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$-44.6$</td>
<td>12.570</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-66.2$</td>
<td>1.870</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>$3.06 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-98.2$</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>61.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>$1.01 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-104.2$</td>
<td>2.560</td>
<td>84.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$-64.4$</td>
<td>6.170</td>
<td>146.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Protein at various temperatures was always at pH 5.5.
†The temperature for experiments was at 20°C.

FIGURE 5 Relaxation profiles of 23-kDa protein tryptophan fluorescence after pressure jumps at 20°C. (A) Relaxation profiles after a set of positive jumps. The first pressure jump was started from 100 MPa. The final pressures after each jump (from top to bottom) were 128.8, 144.3, 159.5, 174.9, and 199.4 MPa, respectively. (B) Relaxation profiles after a set of negative jumps. The first negative pressure was started from 199.4 MPa. The final pressures after each negative jump (from bottom to top) were 172.0, 157.2, 142.7, 127.9, and 104.6 MPa, respectively. The solid lines through the points in A and B represent the fits to a single-exponential function. The protein concentration in experiment was 0.1 mg/ml in 0.1 M MES buffer, pH 5.5, and the excitation and detective emission wavelength was at 295 nm and 330 nm, respectively. (C) The apparent unfolding rate constant at various pressures. The values were obtained from the relaxation profiles after positive pressure jumps, as partially shown in A.
(80 s) relaxation time were observed at 200 and 100 MPa, respectively (Fig. 7B and Fig. 5A). The phenomenon that pressure slows down unfolding/folding kinetics is in agreement with the theoretical model presented by Hummer et al. (1998). These same phenomena have been observed in a number of proteins like Snase, Rnase, trp repressor, CI2, P13, and tendamistat (Blum et al., 1978; Desai et al., 1999; Holcomb and Van Holde, 1962; Kitahara et al., 2002; Mohana-Borges et al., 1999; Panick et al., 1998, 1999; Panick and Winter, 2000; Pappenberger, et al., 2000), leading to the conclusion that applying pressure does slow down protein folding/unfolding.

The temperature effect on pressure-jump kinetics of 23-kDa protein unfolding and refolding

The kinetics of 23-kDa protein unfolding and folding induced by pressure-jump were examined at various temperatures tested in the equilibrium study above. The related kinetics parameters were obtained the same way as those at 20°C, and were listed in Table 3. As seen in Fig. 3B, the unfolding activation volume change $\Delta V_u^\#$ is roughly linearly decreased in absolute value with increasing temperature. The slope of the linear fitting is $\sim 1.3$ ml/deg mol. Detailed examination of data in Fig. 3 indicates that the temperature dependence of $\Delta V_u^\#$ and $\Delta V_u$ is more closely correlated, whereas, the temperature dependence of $\Delta V_u^\#$ is different from that of $\Delta V_u^\#$ and $\Delta V_u$. The same similarity was also reported in trp repressor by Desai and co-workers, who suggested that the folding activation volume be responsible for the temperature dependence of $\Delta V_u$ (Desai et al., 1999). As indicated above, the slope in Fig. 3A is simply equal to the difference in the coefficient of thermal expansion between the unfolded and native states; consequently, the slope of Fig. 3B should correspond to the difference in the coefficient of thermal expansion between the transition and native states. Thus, the thermal expansibility of 23-kDa protein transition state is larger than that of the native state by $\sim 1.3$ ml/deg mol. Summarizing all the data above, a volume diagram of native, transition, and unfolded states of 23-kDa protein folding/unfolding was obtained according to Royer’s schematic diagram (Desai et al., 1999). The diagram shown in Fig. 8 is very similar to that described by Desai et al. (1999) and Silva et al. (2001). The increases in thermal expansibility of the transition state relative to the native state indicates that the significant structural constraints are introduced between the transition and folded states. Furthermore, as already indicated above, the thermal expansibility of the unfolded state of 23-kDa protein is larger than that of its folded state by $\sim 1.8$ ml/deg mol. Accordingly, it is clear that the thermal expansibility of the transition state and unfolded state are closer with each other.

FIGURE 6 Fluorescence intensity relaxation profiles of 23-kDa protein refolding after large negative jumps of pressure at 20°C. Shaded lines through the points of the two profiles in A represent the fits to a mono-exponential function; the solid line through the points of the profile for the jump from 150 to 100 MPa represents the fit to a double-exponential function. The protein concentration in experiment was 0.1 mg/ml in 0.1 M MES buffer, pH 5.5. The excitation and detective emission wavelength was at 295 nm and 330 nm, respectively. B–D show the residual of the fits at various conditions indicated on the figure.
(although the latter is a little larger than the former) and both of them are larger than that of the folded state. The decrease in thermal expansibility of the transition state relative to the unfolded state leads to a similar conclusion—namely, that the significant structural constraints also existed in the rate-limiting step for the folding. This conclusion was also reported in the study on staphylococcal nuclease reported by Woenckhaus et al. (2001).

The temperature dependences of the folding and unfolding rate constants of 23-kDa protein are different. The natural logarithms of the folding rate constant at atmospheric pressure, \( \ln k_{\text{off}} \), under various temperatures were plotted versus the inverse of temperature \( 1/T \) in Fig. 9A, in which the non-Arrhenius behavior of the folding rate constant can be found (Oliverberg et al., 1995). However, the natural logarithms of unfolding rate constant, \( \ln k_{\text{ou}} \), are linearly decreased with \( 1/T \) (Fig. 9B). Therefore it is suggested that the nonlinear temperature dependence of 23-kDa protein stability observed in Fig. 2B is mainly attributed to the non-Arrhenius behavior, which is easy to understand mathematically from \( K_{\text{ou}} = k_{\text{ou}}/k_{\text{of}} \) according to the expressions in Eq. 5. The non-Arrhenius behavior observed in the temperature dependence of the folding rate constant is typical for proteins and implies that the heat capacity of the protein has a large decrease upon the formation of the transition state from unfolded state (Oliverberg et al., 1995; Scalley and Baker, 1997).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study used tryptophan fluorescence to explore the folding/unfolding processes of the 23-kDa extrinsic protein of photosystem II. Since the 23-kDa protein from spinach contained two tryptophan residues which are widely separated in the sequence (34 and 167), changes in the tryptophan fluorescence may reflect global changes in the protein structure rather than changes in some local domain in the protein. Our results showed that the 23-kDa protein is very sensitive to pressure- and chemical reagent-induced denaturation. The protein can be totally unfolded by a pressure of 180 MPa or 1.5–2 M Gdm-HCl at room temperature. This sensitivity is very similar to that of 33-kDa protein, another extrinsic protein of PSII. The pressure and concentration of Gdm-HCl required for unfolding of these two proteins are much lower than those required for unfolding of other proteins.
reported so far. For instance, the unfolding of ribonuclease A needs a pressure of 700 MPa (Panick and Winter, 2000). In our previous studies, it was also found that the pressure of 600 MPa could only transit bovine trypsin into a molten globular state (Ruan et al., 1997, 1999) and 650 MPa pressure could not totally unfold the phospholipase A2 yet (Ruan et al., 1998). This is remarkable, and naturally imposes a question as to why these two extrinsic proteins of PSII are so easy to unfold; in other words, why are they so unstable in their free form in solution? The answer to this question may be related to the functions of these proteins, as they are originally bound to the PSII membranes to stabilize the Mn-cluster required for water-splitting. Once they were dissociated from their binding sites, their structure may not be maintained in a rigid form, leading to an easy unfolding under very mild conditions. This may in turn suggest that these proteins undergo conformational changes upon binding to PSII. Indeed, the 33-kDa protein has been reported to be a naturally unfolded protein; upon binding to PSII, it undergoes some conformational changes to enable its proper function (Hutchison et al., 1998; Lydakis-Simantiris et al., 1999). Alternatively, the unfolding of proteins can be considered a kind of modulation to protein conformation and structure. The easy modulation of the 33-kDa and 23-kDa proteins by a change in physical or chemical conditions may provide a protection mechanism for the PSII of higher plants. When the environmental conditions are changed, the extrinsic proteins are modulated through unfolding, which may reduce the effect of the environmental change on other proteins of the system and enable them to perform the normal functions. It is also possible that the conformational changes that resulted from the easy modulation of these proteins act as a signal to induce corresponding adaptions of the system. In either event, all of these phenomena should be the result of biological evolution. It is thus natural to ask about the third extrinsic protein, the 17-kDa protein of higher plant PSII. Although we have not finished the pressure study on the 17-kDa protein yet, we have found that this protein is also easily denatured by a low pressure of ~250 MPa pressure (data not shown). Thus, the easy unfolding seems to be a universal feature for the three extrinsic proteins of higher plant PSII. On the other hand, in cyanobacteria, only the 33-kDa protein is present, and the 23-kDa and 17-kDa proteins are replaced by cytochrome c-550 and a 12-kDa protein. It will be interesting to study the stability and unfolding of the cyanobacterial extrinsic proteins also. Whatever the origin and function of the easy modulation of these proteins may be, these proteins provide ideal models to explore the kinetic processes of pressure-induced unfolding-refolding because of their low stability and good performance of intrinsic fluorescence during unfolding.

As mentioned above, the slope of the linear fit of \( \Delta V_u \) versus temperature in Fig. 3 is \( \sim 1.8 \text{ ml/mol deg} \). According to Zipp and Kauzman (1973), this slope is equal to the difference in the coefficient of thermal expansion of the protein in the unfolded, relative to the folded, state. Consequently, the thermal expansibility of the unfolded state of 23-kDa protein is larger than that of the folded state by \( \sim 1.8 \text{ ml/deg mol} \); the value is very similar to that of Met-myoglobin (Zipp and Kauzmann, 1973). It was reported that this value for Snase and trp repressor was \( \sim 1.0 \text{ ml/deg mol} \) (Desai et al., 1999; Panick et al., 1999). Meanwhile, the unfolding \( V_u \) of 33-kDa protein was almost independent of temperature from 3 to 45°C (Ruan et al., 2001). These observations indicate that the overall change in the coefficient of the thermal expansion of protein between native and unfolded state seems to be in a range of \( 0 \sim 2 \text{ ml/deg mol} \) and is dependent on individual proteins.

Our results revealed that the \( \Delta V_f \) of the protein is positive, while the \( \Delta V_u \) is negative. According to Eqs. 7 and 8, when pressure is increased, the positive \( \Delta V_f \) will slow down the folding rate constant whereas the negative \( \Delta V_u \) will increase the unfolding rate constants. These are inconsistent with the fact observed in this work that higher pressure speeds up the unfolding of 23-kDa protein. The
positive activation volume for protein folding has been reported for Snase, CI2, and trp repressor (Desai et al., 1999; Mohana-Borges et al., 1999; Vidugiris et al., 1995; Woenckhaus et al., 2001). It has been considered that the physical basis for the volume change of protein unfolding is related to the reduction of free volume or voids that exist within the folded structure, resulting in more efficient packing of water molecules around the protein chain (Frye et al., 1996; Frye and Royer, 1998; Hummer et al., 1998). Accordingly, the rate-limiting step in 23-kDa protein folding involves the dehydration of a significant portion of the polypeptide chain and the formation of free volume in packing defects. The negative unfolding activation volume of 23-kDa protein means that the volume of the protein transition state is smaller than the native state, implying that some of the free volume and voids existing in the latter would be eliminated upon unfolding and the protein in the transition state is more solvated than in the folded state (Hummer et al., 1998). It is clear from the negative $\Delta V^u_v$ and positive $\Delta V^f_v$ for 23-kDa protein that in terms of system volume, the transition state lies between the folded and unfolded states (see Fig. 8), very similar to the case of trp repressor, CI2, and P13 (Desai et al., 1999; Kitahara et al., 2002; Mohana-Borges et al., 1999). As seen in Table 3, the folding rate constant at atmospheric pressure, $k_{\text{ref}}$, is only $\sim 1.4 \times 10^4$ times faster than $k_{\text{obs}}$ at 20°C, much smaller than that observed in other stable proteins such as trp repressor in which the difference between $k_{\text{ref}}$ and $k_{\text{obs}}$ is $5 \times 10^8$ (Desai et al., 1999). The smaller difference can help us understand why it is so easy for 23-kDa protein to be unfolded by pressure.

The kinetic behavior of 23-kDa protein unfolding is very similar to that of the trp repressor, except that the latter is more stable and needs the cooperation of GdmHCl to unfold at mild pressures. Nearly all the characteristics of unfolding kinetics reported for the trp repressor were observed in 23-kDa protein. This implies that these characteristics might be common features for protein unfolding induced by high pressure. This hypothesis, of course, needs to be examined with more proteins.
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